# **Isabelle Tutorial:** System, HOL and Proofs

Burkhart Wolff

Université Paris-Sud

# What we will talk about

# What we will talk about Isabelle with:

- its System Framework
- the Logical Framework
- the Isabelle/HOL Environment
- Proof Contexts and Structured Proof
- Tactic Proofs ("apply style")

# The Isabelle Logical Framework (I)

#### Overview

- A Universal Notion of Terms & Types: Curry-Style Typed  $\lambda$  Calculus with Type-Classes
- A Universal Notion of Rule: Isabelle/Pure
- A Gentle Introduction to HOL
- Forward Proofs
- Backward Proofs
- ML-Level Proofs
- System Architecture
- Conclusion

### Isabelle Kernel: Types and Terms

- A Typed Lambda-Calculus without frills.
- Types:

- type classes  $\Xi$  (\* eg. order, lattice \*)

- \_ type constructors  $\kappa$  (\* eg. bool, list,\_x\_\*)
- type variables [and actually schematic type variables  $?\alpha$ ]
- $\text{ types } \tau ::= \text{ prop } \mid \tau \Rightarrow \tau \mid (\tau, ..., \tau) \kappa \mid \alpha :: \{ \Xi, ..., \Xi \}$
- Terms:
  - variable symbols:  $V = \{x_1, x_2, ...\}$  [and actually ?V's too]
  - constant symbols: C =  $\{c_1, c_2, ...\}$
  - term ::= V:: $\tau$  | C:: $\tau$  | term term |  $\lambda$  V:: $\tau$  . term
  - Isabelle offers powerful pretty-printing: ( \_ + \_) t t' == t + t' !!

#### Isabelle Kernel: Typed Terms

• Well-typed terms (cterm's): the usual type inference system.

• Congruences on cterm's:

– equality on cterm is  $\alpha\beta\eta$  congruence

$$-\alpha$$
 :  $\lambda x. t \equiv \lambda y. t[x \mapsto y]$ 

$$-\beta$$
 :  $(\lambda x. t) t' \equiv t[x \mapsto t']$ 

 $-\eta$  :  $(\lambda x. t) \equiv t$  (provided x not occurring in t) - equality for well-typed terms decidable.

#### Isabelle Kernel: Global Contexts

- Global Contexts  $\Theta$ , i.e. Theories, i.e. inductively defined sets of pairs pair of:
  - $\begin{array}{ll} & \mbox{Signature} & \Sigma & (\mbox{types, constants, syntax}) \\ & \mbox{where} & \Sigma & \equiv & \mbox{C} \mapsto \tau \\ & & (\mbox{a partial map from constant symbols to types } \tau) \end{array}$
  - Axioms A (a partial map of names to "thm"s))

where thm's are triples:

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\Theta} \phi$$

- with a set  $\Gamma$  of assumptions, i.e. cterm's of type prop
- $\mbox{\ }$  with the conclusion  $\varphi,$  i.e. a ctem of type prop
- $\hfill \hfill \hfill$

## Isabelle Kernel: Commands as global context transactions

- Theory Extensions are:

  - Axioms A -

Signature  $\Sigma$  (types, constants, syntax) (set of formulas)

*command* denoting global context transition

# Isabelle Kernel: Commands as global context transactions

- Theory Extensions are:
  - Signature  $\Sigma$  (types, constants, syntax)
  - Axioms A (set of formulas)

 $(\Sigma + {c \mapsto \tau}, A) \in "\Theta'$ 

# Isabelle Kernel: Commands as global context transactions

- Theory Extensions are:
  - Signature  $\Sigma$  (types, constants, syntax)
  - Axioms A (set of formulas)

 $(\Sigma, A) \in \Theta$ axiomatization <c> where <name>:"< $\phi$ >"

$$(\Sigma, A + \{ name \mapsto \phi + ... \})$$
 " $\in$ "  $\Theta$ '

# Isabelle Kernel: Commands as global context transactions

- Theory Extensions (roughly speaking) are:
  - Signature  $\Sigma$ 
    - Axioms A (set of formulas)



(types, constants, syntax)

- Pure is a logical meta-language, i.e. the built-in language in which logical rules as such can be represented.
- It consists of typed  $\lambda$ -terms with constants:
  - foundational types "prop" and "\_ => \_" ("\_  $\Rightarrow$ \_")
  - the Pure (universal) quantifier

all :: "( $\alpha$  ⇒ Prop) ⇒ Prop" (" $\land$ x. P x","\<And> x. P x" "!!x. P x")

- the Pure implication "A ==> B" (" $\implies$  \_")
- the Pure equality "A = B''" "A = B''

- Pure is the meta-language, i.e. the built-in formula language ("inner syntax").
- Equivalent notations for natural deduction rules:

- -

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{A}_{1} \Longrightarrow (\dots \Longrightarrow (\mathsf{A}_{n} \Longrightarrow \mathsf{A}_{n+1})\dots), & \begin{array}{c} \text{theorem} \\ \text{assumes } \mathsf{A}_{1} \\ \text{and } \dots \\ \text{and } \dots \\ \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{A}_{1} & \dots & \mathsf{A}_{n} \\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} A_{1} & \dots & A_{n} \\ A_{n+1} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{A}_{n+1}, & & \\ \mathsf{and } \mathsf{A}_{n} \\ \text{shows } \mathsf{A}_{n+1} \end{array} \end{array}$$

- Pure is the meta-language, i.e. the built-in formula language ("inner syntax").
- Equivalent notations for natural deduction rules:

$$\begin{array}{l} (\mathsf{P} \Longrightarrow \mathsf{Q}) \Longrightarrow \mathsf{R} : & \begin{bmatrix} P \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \text{theorem} \\ \text{assumes "P} \Longrightarrow \mathsf{Q}" & \frac{Q}{R} \\ \text{shows "R"} & R \end{array}$$

- Pure provides a built-in formula-language, a is the meta-language.
- Equivalent notations for natural deduction rules:

 $\left[P\right]_{a}$ 

 $\dot{Q}$ 

R

$$(\land a. P a \implies Q a) \implies R :$$

theorem fixes a assumes "P a  $\implies$  Q a" shows R

### Isabelle Specification Constructs

- Methodology to use only logically safe ("conservative") Theory Extensions.
  These are:
  - constant definition
  - type definition
  - constant specification
  - type specification

### Advanced Isabelle Specification Constructs

- Methodology to use only logically safe ("conservative") Theory Extensions. These are:
  - datatype definition
  - inductive definition
  - primrec , fun definitions
  - type specification

#### Isabelle Specification Constructs

• Constant definition:

 $(\Sigma, A) \in " \Theta$   $definition < c > :: " < \tau > "$   $where < name > : " < c = \phi > "$   $(\Sigma + \{c :: \tau\}, A + \{name \mapsto c = \phi\}) \in " \Theta'$ 

- where c is "fresh" in  $\Theta$
- $\phi$  is closed
- $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$  is type variable closed

#### Some Commands for Inspection

- Some Isabelle document commands serve to inspect the document content.
  - checking a type expression:

example: typ "prop  $\Rightarrow$  prop"

checking a term expression:

term "<t>"

example: term "λx. x"

#### Some Statements (for Inspection)

- We can state (not yet prove) lemmas and theorems:
  - a lemma:

lemma <name>: "<φ>" <proof>

example: lemma nix: "A  $\Rightarrow$  A" sorry

a theorem: theorem <name>: fixes V ... assumes "< $\phi$ >" shows "< $\phi$ >" <proof> example: term " $\lambda x. x$ "

#### Exercise demo2.thy

- Build a theory in "Main" (which is actually the brand-name for "Higher-order Logic" (HOL) to be discussed next)
- Check some types
- Check some propositions
- State lemmas (proof by "sorry" or "oops")
- State a theorem in structured syntax
- State an Axiom and a Definition